

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYMEYON HILL,

Plaintiff,

v.

S. PATTERSON, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01328-TLN-AC

**ORDER**

Plaintiff, a civil detainee proceeding *pro se*, has filed a second motion to reconsider the Court's September 20, 2022 Order (ECF No. 10) denying his motions to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF No. 13.)


Local Rule 230(j) requires that a motion for reconsideration state "what new or different facts or circumstances are claimed to exist which did not exist or were not shown upon such prior motion, or what other grounds exist for the motion; and . . . why the facts or circumstances were not shown at the time of the prior motion." L.R. 230(j)(3)–(4). Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration does not present any new or different facts or circumstances.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 13) is DENIED. No further such motions will be considered.

//

//

**DATED: November 22, 2022**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Troy L. Nunley", is written over a horizontal line.

Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge